

Local Shimura varieties - past, present, future

David Hansen

National University of Singapore

October 5, 2023

Shimura varieties

Recall: A **Shimura datum** is (roughly) a pair (G, X) where G/\mathbf{Q} is a connected reductive group and $X \simeq G(\mathbf{R})/K_\infty$ is a Hermitian symmetric domain.

Theorem (Baily-Borel, Shimura, Deligne, Borovoi, Milne)

Given (G, X) as above, and $K \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ a sufficiently small open compact subgroup, the locally symmetric manifold

$$G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash (X \times G(\mathbf{A}_f)) / K$$

is $\cong \mathrm{Sh}(G, X)_K(\mathbf{C})$ for a certain smooth quasiprojective algebraic variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)_K$ defined over a number field $E = E(G, X)$.

\rightsquigarrow Get a tower $\{\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)_K\}_K$ with $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ -action.

Modular curves

Take $(G, X) = (\mathrm{GL}_2, \mathfrak{H}^\pm = \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R})$. Then $E = \mathbf{Q}$ and $Y_K = \mathrm{Sh}(G, X)_K$ is the usual tower of modular curves.

When $K = K(N) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}) \mid g = 1 \pmod{N}\}$, $Y_{K(N)}$ is the moduli space of elliptic curves E with a trivialization $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^2 \simeq E[N]$.

Note: Cohomology

$$\mathrm{colim}_{K \rightarrow \{1\}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(Y_{K, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$$

has a natural action of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$.

What information does this action encode?

Modular curves cont'd

Recall: Let $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n \in S_2(N)$ be any normalized weight two cuspidal Hecke eigenform.

\rightsquigarrow Eichler-Shimura: There is a (unique) Galois representation $\rho_f : \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell})$ unramified outside $N\ell$ such that $\mathrm{tr} \rho_f(\mathrm{Fr}_p) = a_p$ for all $p \nmid N\ell$.

Example: If

$$f = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)(1 - q^{3n})(1 - q^{5n})(1 - q^{15n}) \in S_2(15),$$

then ρ_f is realized in the ℓ -adic Tate module of the elliptic curve $y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2$.

Modular curves cont'd

Theorem (Langlands, Piatetski-Shapiro, Deligne, Brylinski, ...)

As $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ -representations, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{colim}_{K \rightarrow \{1\}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^1(Y_{K, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell) = \bigoplus_f \rho_f \otimes \bigotimes_p \pi_{f,p} + \dots,$$

where $\pi_{f,p} \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p))$ matches $\rho_f|_{W_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$ via *local Langlands correspondence*.

Here "... " is some "boring" part (all $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -irreps occurring there are one-dimensional).

Back to general Shimura varieties

General expectations (Langlands, Arthur, Kottwitz, ...) Given a Shimura datum (G, X) , can extract an algebraic representation $r : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$. Then expect that

$$\mathrm{colim}_{K \rightarrow \{1\}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{\dim X}(\mathrm{Sh}(G, X)_{K, \bar{E}}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}) = \bigoplus_{\pi} r \circ \rho_{\pi}|_{\Gamma_E} \otimes \bigotimes_p \pi_p + \dots$$

Here the sum runs over some suitable set of cuspidal aut. reps. of G , and $\rho_{\pi} : \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow {}^L G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell})$ is a Galois representation such that $\pi_p \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}}(G(\mathbf{Q}_p))$ matches $\rho_{\pi}|_{W_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$ via LLC.

Here "... " is some "less interesting" part.

Local Shimura varieties

Fix a prime p , and set $\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{unr}} \rtimes \sigma = \text{lift of } x \mapsto x^p.$

Definition (Rapoport-Viehmann)

A **local Shimura datum** is a triple $(G, \{\mu\}, b)$ where G/\mathbb{Q}_p is a connected reductive group, $\{\mu\}$ is a conjugacy class of minuscule cocharacters $G_{m, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \rightarrow G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$, and $b \in G(\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is an element such that $b \in B(G, \mu)$.

(Can and do fix $\mu \in \{\mu\}$ defined over a minimal fin. extension $E = E(G, \{\mu\})/\mathbb{Q}_p$; ignore difference between μ and $\{\mu\}$. Set $\check{E} = E.\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.)

Key Example: Take $G = \text{GL}_n$. Then μ can be identified with a tuple $(k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. On the other hand, $b \in \text{GL}_n(\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \rightsquigarrow$ rank n F -isocrystal $(\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p^n, b\sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{Dieudonne-Manin}} \text{slopes } (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{Q}^n$.
Then $b \in B(G, \mu) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^j k_i$ with equality for $j = n$.

Conjecture (Rapoport-Viehmann)

Given (G, μ, b) as above, should have a natural tower of smooth rigid analytic spaces $\{\mathcal{M}_K = \mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b)_K\}_K$ over \check{E} , indexed by open compact $K \subset G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, equipped with commuting actions of $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ (on the whole tower) and $G_b(\mathbf{Q}_p) := \{j \in G(\check{\mathbf{Q}}_p) \mid b\sigma(j)b^{-1} = j\}$ (on each \mathcal{M}_K). Moreover,...

- $\mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b)_K$ should often (but not always!) coincide with the generic fiber of a formal scheme (a *Rapoport-Zink space*) parametrizing p -divisible groups w./w.o. extra structures. Works for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{GSpin}_n \dots$ Doesn't work if e.g. $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n, E_7$.
- Should have a canonical (non-effective) descent datum to $E \rightsquigarrow \lim_{K \rightarrow 1} H_{\text{ét}}^*(\mathcal{M}_{K, \bar{E}}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ has commuting $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)_-, G_b(\mathbf{Q}_p)_-,$ and W_E -actions.
- (Many more.)

Local Shimura varieties (cont'd)

Theorem (Scholze ~late 2014, via Caraiani-Scholze, Fargues & Fargues-Fontaine, Kedlaya-Liu, Scholze-Weinstein)

*Local Shimura varieties exist with all expected properties, as the solution to a natural moduli problem determined by the datum (G, μ, b) . In fact, they exist in the larger category of **diamonds** for any μ , and even for more general input data $(G, \{\mu_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}, b)$, in which case the resulting spaces live over “ n copies of \check{E} ” in a precise sense.*

This last construction is in parallel with the moduli spaces of local/global shtukas defined in equal characteristic p settings (Drinfeld, Varshavsky, Lafforgue(s), ...), which fiber over some finite self-product of copies of the base (which is either $\text{Spec } \mathbf{F}_q((t))$ or a projective curve over \mathbf{F}_q).

Construction in one sentence: moduli of type μ modifications of vector bundles $\mathcal{E}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{E}_b$ on the Fargues-Fontaine curve.

Expectations for cohomology

Fix (G, μ, b) . Want to decompose the cohomology $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b), \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ of the tower representation-theoretically under the natural

$G(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times G_b(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times W_E$ -action.

Key issue: This object is huge, because local Shimura varieties are of **highly infinite type**. One cannot write down equations for them, they are not quasicompact, they usually do not admit explicit coverings by quasicompact pieces, etc. So how to attack their cohomology?

- (Geo) Local Shimura varieties can be realized inside some Hecke stacks which are proper (\approx of finite type) over Bun_G , and their cohomology can be described in terms of Hecke operators acting on sheaves on Bun_G . \Rightarrow Can use techniques from geometric Langlands. (Fargues-Scholze)
- (LTF) Can try to use Lefschetz trace formula techniques. Idea is not new, but technically difficult to implement.
- (Unif) Local Shimura varieties often related to global Shimura varieties by **p -adic uniformization** \Rightarrow get a direct relationship between their cohomologies. This technique has been heavily exploited for many years.

Recent results on cohomology

Fix (G, μ, b) . How to cut the cohomology down?

"Symmetry breaking:" Fix a smooth $G_b(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ -representation ρ , and consider

$$H_c^i(G, \mu, b)[\rho] = H^i(R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b), \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G_b(\mathbf{Q}_p))} \rho).$$

"Derived ρ -isotypic part of the cohomology." Still a $G(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times W_E$ -representation.

Theorem (Fargues-Scholze '21)

If ρ is admissible, then $H_c^i(G, \mu, b)[\rho]$ is an admissible $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ -representation, and $H_c^i(G, \mu, b)[\rho] = 0$ unless $0 \leq i \leq 2 \dim \mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b)_K$. If ρ is irreducible, each of these cohomologies is of finite length.

Proof via (Geo).

Can we describe these groups in terms of local Langlands correspondence?

Slightly easier: same question for the virtual representation

$$\mathcal{H}(G, \mu, b)[\rho] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i H_c^i(G, \mu, b)[\rho]$$

.

Recent results on cohomology, cont'd

Fix (G, μ, b) with b basic ($\iff G_b$ is an inner form of G).

Theorem (H.-Kaletha-Weinstein '21)

If G and G_b satisfy "refined supercuspidal LLC" and ρ lives in a supercuspidal L -packet $\Pi_\phi(G_b)$, then

$$\mathcal{H}(G, \mu, b)[\rho] = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G)} m_\pi \pi + \text{error}$$

for some explicit multiplicities m_π .

Here *error* is a \mathbf{Q} -linear combination of parabolic inductions. Proof uses (LTF), implemented via ideas from (Geo). Result is unconditional (with *error* = 0) for G any inner form of GL_n .

Recent results on cohomology, cont'd

Fix (G, μ, b) with b basic.

Theorem (H. '21)

If ρ has supercuspidal L-parameter and \mathcal{M}_K related to global Shimura varieties via p -adic uniformization, then $H_c^i(G, \mu, b)[\rho] = 0$ unless $i = \text{middle degree}$.

Proof is an unexpected combination of ideas from (Geo) and (Unif). Would prefer to deduce this from

Conjecture (H.-Scholze)

Local Shimura varieties are Stein spaces.

If you can prove this for the datum with $G = \mathrm{GL}_5$, $\mu = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, b basic, I will donate €1000 to a charity of your choice. (If you are a graduate student, your bank account is a valid charity.)

Future results on cohomology

Beyond basic b ? Harris-Viehmann conjecture: For non-basic b , should have

$$\mathcal{H}(G, \mu, b)[\rho] \simeq \pm \oplus_i \text{Ind}_{P_i(\mathbf{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \mathcal{H}(M_i, \mu_i, b)[\rho]$$

for some explicit finite list of local Shimura data (M_i, μ_i, b) assoc. with Levi subgroups $M_i \subset G$.

Should follow from a detailed study of **geometric Eisenstein series**. Hamann '22 in many cases, Hamann-H.-Scholze (work in progress, ready by 80th birthday?...).

Beyond supercuspidal L -packets? Best understood in terms of **categorical local Langlands**. I hope for a clean answer at least for discrete L -parameters. (Hellmann, Bertoloni Meli, Koshikawa, H., ongoing dialogues...)

Conjecture (H., Koshikawa)

For any (G, μ, b) , $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b), \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ is concentrated in degrees $\geq \langle 2\rho, \mu + \nu_b \rangle$.

Stein property alone would give concentration in degrees $\geq \langle 2\rho, \mu \rangle$, so this is some **supervanishing** property. Predicted by categorical local Langlands!

The lecturer's ignorance laid bare

Some open problems!

- Vanishing conjectures from earlier.
- Is \mathcal{M}_∞ always a perfectoid space? True for (G, μ, b) of local abelian type.
- Fix (G, μ, b) plus \mathcal{G} a parahoric model of G . Is the v -sheaf integral model $\mathcal{M}^{\text{int}}(\mathcal{G}, \mu, b)$ of $\mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b)_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ always representable by a nice formal scheme? Known in (most) local abelian type cases.
- Do local Shimura varieties with "deeper than parahoric" level admit natural integral models? Cf. Bieker's thesis.
- Does cohomology with \mathbf{F}_p -coefficients have any good finiteness properties?

Thank you for listening!